Optomechanical steady-state entanglement induced by electrical interaction

ChunNian Ren,[1,*] JianQi Zhang,[2] Libo Chen,[3] and YongJian Gu[1,†]

[1] *College of Information Science and Engineering, Ocean University of China, Qingdao 266100, China*

[2] *State Key Laboratory of Magnetic Resonance and Atomic and Molecular Physics, Wuhan Institute of Physics and Mathematics, Chinese Academy of Sciences, and Wuhan National Laboratory for Optoelectronics, Wuhan 430071, China*

[3] *School of Science, Qingdao Technological University, Qingdao 266033, China*

compiled: February 27, 2014

We propose a scheme for generating remote continuous steady-state entanglement of output light leaked from optomechanical system, in which two mechanical oscillators are coupled through long-range Coulomb interaction. we show that the entanglement of output light is affected by the detuning and the strength of the Coulomb interaction. We also demonstrate that two movable mirrors and two light beams can be entangled in the steady state. We suggest an experimental readout scheme to fully verify the characteristic of entangled state.

OCIS codes: (270.5580, 270.5570) Optomechanical system; steady-state entanglement; Coulomb interaction; entangled state.

http://dx.doi.org/10.1364/XX.99.099999

arXiv:1402.6434v1 [quant-ph] 26 Feb 2014

1. Introduction

The research of micro-mechanical resonators (MRs) has attracted considerable interests in both quantum mechanics and nano-technology in the past decade, because of the fact that MRs is an ideal candiate to search quantum properties on mescoscopic objects. These quantum properties not only provide insights into the fundamental physical principle in quantum regime [1], but also give potential applications of MRs, such as, optomechanical metrology [2], quantum information processing [3, 4], biological sensing [5], and gravitational wave detection [6].

However, only few quantum properties on MRs can be achieved experimentally directly, since the quantum properties on MRs are too weak to be observed, and they are always covered by the thermal fluctuation. Moreover, limited by the ground cooling condition [7], only the MRs with high frequencies can be directly cooled to its ground state with an average phonon number $\langle n\rangle \ll 1$ [8], and the MRs with lower frequencies need to be cooled further to lower temperature. Therefore, it is desirable to develop observing more quantum properties on MRs. And the steady entanglement in MRs is one of this kind of quantum properties. Quantum entanglement is one of the most important features of quantum mechanics.

Until now, several entanglement schemes based on MRs have been proposed to achieve continuous variable entanglement. These entanglment schemes range from the cavity modes to MRs, including the entanglment for two MRs [9, 10, 14], the entanglement between one MR and a cavity mode in optomechancial cavity systems [19], entanglement of the output light with optomechancial systems [9], entanglement of the optical and microwave cavity mode with a MR [15]. However, this scheme is rarely involved methods long-range entanglement[17].

The aim of our work is to generate the entanglement between the output light fields leaking out of two sides of an optomechnical system with two charged movible mirros in it. The Coulomb interaction between the two charged MRs in such a system will set up the entanglement of the MRs and the modes in the cavities, As the system reaches steady state, the output fields will be entangled.

Our scheme that the entanglement between the two movable oscillators and between the two beams leaked from the two cavities created by coulomb interaction is quitely different from the conventional optomechanical system[9, 10]which the entanglement between two movable mechanical resonators is generated by the inner cavity modes or induced by the external atoms. So our scheme belongs to a kind of new structure for gen-

* scienceren@yeah.net

† Corresponding author: yjgu@ouc.edu.cn

eration continuous entangled light. Contrast to the conventional methodes, the coulomb interaction belong to long-range interactions[11, 12]. Furthermore, when the leaking beams are in entangle state, the two mirrors are cooled at the same time, so the influence of external noise is small, The entanglement can be keep a longer time coherence[13].

2. Model and Hamiltonian

As it is sketched in Fig. 1, we consider the model is composed of two spatially separated optomechanical cavities with a distance r_0. Each opto-mechancial cavities consists of one fixed mirror and one charged MR. When the distance between the two charged MRs is much large than the small oscillations of the charged MRs $r_0 \gg q_m$, the Coulomb interaction for charged MRs can be written as $V = \lambda q_1 q_2$ [21, 22], where $\lambda = \frac{2kQ_1Q_2}{r_0^3}$, k is the electrostatic force constants, Q_m is the net charge for the MR m $(= 1, 2)$. After redefined the equilibrium position and ignored the frequency shift caused by the Coulomb interaction, the motion of the MRs can be given by $H_{MR} = \sum_{m=1}^{2} \frac{\hbar\omega_m}{2}\left(p_m^2 + q_m^2\right)$, where q_m and p_m are the position and momentum operators of MR m with a frequency ω_M. The energy for the optomechancial cavities can be described as $H_c = \sum_{m=1}^{2} \hbar\omega_{c,m} c_m^\dagger c_m$ with $\omega_{c,m}$ being the frequency for the cavity mode c_m. After each optomechancial cavities is driven by its corresponding laser fields in the frequency $\omega_{p,m}$ with an input power P_m and a strength $\varepsilon_{p,m} = \sqrt{2P_m/\hbar\omega_{c,m}}$, the Hamiltonian describes our model can be give as:

$$\begin{array}{rcl} H_T &=& H_c + H_{MR} + V + H_R + H_d; \\ H_R &=& \sum_{m=1}^{2} (-1)^k \chi_m q_m c_m^\dagger c_m; \\ H_d &=& \sum_{m=1}^{2} i\hbar\left(\varepsilon_{p,m} e^{-i\omega_{p,m}t} c^\dagger - H.c.\right), \end{array} \tag{1}$$

where H_R is for the radiation pressure couplings beween the MR and its corresponding cavity mode, where $\chi_m = \hbar_{c,m}/L_m$ is the strength of the radiation pressure coupling with a cavity length L_m. The last item is describe the optomechancial cavity driven by the external laser fields.

For simplify, we suppose both the optomechancial cavities and driven laser fields are identical, then we can get $\varepsilon_{p,m} = \varepsilon_p$, $\omega_{p,m} = \omega_p$, and $\omega_m = \omega_M$. In the frame rotating with the driving frequency ω_p, we can rewritte the Hamitlonian (1) as

$$\begin{array}{rcl} H_T = & \sum_{m=1}^{2} \left[\hbar\Delta_0 c_m^\dagger c_m + \frac{\hbar\omega_M}{2}\left(p_m^2 + q_m^2\right)\right] \\ + & \sum_{m=1}^{2} \left[(-1)^m \chi q_m c_m^\dagger c_m\right] + \lambda q_1 q_2 \\ + & \sum_{m=1}^{2} i\hbar\left(\varepsilon_p c_k^\dagger - H.C.\right), \end{array} \tag{2}$$

with $\Delta_0 = \omega_c - \omega_p$ being the detuning from the cavity to the laser field. Fig. 1.

3. Quantum Langevin equations

A proper analysis of the system must include photon losses in the cavity and the Brownian noise acting on the mirror. Substituting The total Hamiltonian in Eq.(2) into that differential equation the Heisenberg equations



Fig. 1. Schematic description of the experimental system, including two cavity. Each cavity with the length L is driven by a classical light fields. r_0 is the distance between the two movable mirrors in the absence of the radiation pressure and the Coulomb force.

of motion and adding the corresponding damping and noise terms, we obtain the quantum Langevin equations as follows:

$$\begin{array}{rcl} \dot{q}_m & = \omega_m p_m \\ \dot{p}_1 & = -\omega_m q_1 + \chi c_1^\dagger c_1 + \lambda q_2 - \gamma_m p_1 + \xi_1 \\ \dot{p}_2 & = -\omega_m q_2 + \chi c_2^\dagger c_2 + \lambda q_1 - \gamma_m p_2 + \xi_2 \\ \dot{c}_1 & = -\left(\kappa + i\Delta_0\right) c_1 + i\chi q_1 c_1 + \varepsilon_{p_1} e^{-i\omega_{p_1} t} + \sqrt{\kappa} c_{1in} \\ \dot{c}_2 & = -\left(\kappa + i\Delta_0\right) c_2 + i\chi q_2 c_2 + \varepsilon_{p_2} e^{-i\omega_{p_2} t} + \sqrt{\kappa} c_{2in} \end{array} \tag{3}$$

The quantum Brownian noise ξ_1 and$\xi_2$are from the coupling of the movable mirrors to their own own environment. We suppose the correlation function at temperature T

$$\left\langle \xi_j(t)\, \xi_k(t') \right\rangle = \frac{\delta_{jk}\gamma_m}{\omega_m} \int \frac{d\omega}{2\pi} e^{-i\omega\left(t-t'\right)} \omega \left[1 + \coth\left(\frac{\hbar\omega}{2\kappa_B T}\right)\right] \qquad j,k = 1,2 \tag{4}$$

the mirror Brownian noise ξ_1 and ξ_2 are not Markovian and therefore cann't be described by delta correlated function[19, 23]. But the non-Markvian effects are achievable only in the case that the oscillators are working in a large mechanical quality factor $Q_m = \omega_m/\gamma_m \gg 1$. Hence, ξ_1 and ξ_2 become delta correlated:

$$\left\langle \xi_j(t)\, \xi_k(t') + \xi_j(t')\, \xi_k(t) \right\rangle /2 \simeq \gamma_m \left(2\bar{n} + 1\right) \delta\left(t - t'\right)$$

here $\bar{n} = \left(\exp\left\{\hbar\omega_m/k_B T\right\}\right)$ the two cavity modes decay at the same rate $\kappa_1 = \kappa_2 = \kappa$, and $a_{1in}\,(a_{2in})$ is the vacuum radiation input noise with the correlation relations which are given by

$$\begin{array}{rcl} \left\langle a_{jin}^\dagger(t)\, a_{jin}(t') \right\rangle & = N\delta\left(t - t'\right) \\ \left\langle a_{jin}(t)\, a_{jin}^\dagger(t') \right\rangle & = (N+1)\,\delta\left(t - t'\right) \end{array} \tag{5}$$

here we sopposed the environment is a thermal equilibrium state , the photon number $N = \left[\exp\left(\hbar\omega_c/k_B T\right) - 1\right]^{-1}$, here k_B is the Boltzmann constant and T is the mirror temperature. In order to obtain the steady state of the eqation3, each Heisenberg operator can always be rewritten as a c-number

steady-state equations plus an additional fluctuation operator with zero-mean value, $\alpha = a_s + \delta a$, $q = q_s + \delta q$, $p = p_s + \delta p$. When we insert these expressions into the Eqs.3, a set of nonlinear algebraic equations for the steady state values and a set of quantum Langevin equations for the fluctuation operators[19, 30] can be calculated analytically . The values for the set of steady state equations are read:

$$\begin{array}{ll} p_{1s} & = p_{2s} = 0 \\ q_{1s} & = \frac{\chi|c_{1s}|^2+\lambda\chi|c_{2s}|^2}{\omega_m-\lambda^2} \\ q_{2s} & = \frac{\chi|c_{2s}|^2+\lambda\chi|c_{1s}|^2}{\omega_m-\lambda^2} \\ c_{1s} & = \frac{\varepsilon_{p_1}}{(\kappa+i\Delta-i\chi q_{1s})} \\ c_{2s} & = \frac{\varepsilon_{p_2}}{(\kappa+i\Delta-i\chi q_{2s})} \end{array} \tag{6}$$

From Eq.6, we have a third-order nonlinear equations array for $|c_{ms}|$and $q_{m,s}$. Unfortunately, for the exact expression is too cumbersome and will not be reported here. When radiation pressure coupling is strong, significant optomechanical entanglement is achieved[19]. For high finesse cavities and enough driving power, the system is characterized by a semiclassical steady state with the cavity mode in a coherent state with amplitude $\alpha_{s,m} = \varepsilon_{p}in,m/(\kappa_m + i\Delta_m)$, $\left|\varepsilon_{p}in,m\right| = \sqrt{2P_m/\hbar\omega_{0,m}}$, $m = 1, 2$. Using the approach of [16**? ?**], The fluctuations can be calculated analytically by solving the Eqs. Where we define a new opretor $X_m = \left(c_m + c_m^\dagger\right)/\sqrt{2}$and $Y_m = \left(c_m - c_m^\dagger\right) i/\sqrt{2}$, $m = 1, 2$ and corresponding Hermitian input noise operators $X_{m,in} = \left(c_{m,in} + c_{m,in}^\dagger\right)/\sqrt{2}$and $Y_{m,in} = \left(c_{m,in} - ic_{m,in}^\dagger\right)/i\sqrt{2}$, Then, we chose two new sets for the Langevin equation, one is $f = \{\delta q_1, \delta p_1, \delta q_2, \delta p_2, \delta X_1, \delta Y_1, \delta X_2, \delta Y_2\}$ as the input vector and another is $b = \{0, \xi_1, 0, \xi_2, \sqrt{\kappa}X_{1,in}, \sqrt{\kappa}Y_{1,in}, \sqrt{\kappa}X_{2,in}, \sqrt{\kappa}Y_{2,in}\}$the linear Langevin equations. The optomechanical system will reaches a unique steady-state as it is stable. In the steady state, the input light power is very larger than the optomechanical entanglement in the parameter regime when $|c_s| \gg 1$.In this case, we can neglect the nonlinear terms $\delta q \delta c$.

$$\delta\dot{q}_1 = \omega_m \delta p_1 \tag{7a}$$
$$\delta\dot{p}_1 = -\omega_m \delta q_1 + F_1 \delta X_1 + \lambda \delta q_2 - \gamma_m \delta p_2 + \xi_1 \tag{7b}$$
$$\delta\dot{q}_2 = \omega_m \delta p_2 \tag{7c}$$
$$\delta\dot{p}_2 = -\omega_m \delta q_2 + F_2 \delta X_2 + \lambda \delta q_1 - \gamma_m \delta p_2 + \xi_2 \tag{7d}$$
$$\delta\dot{X}_1 = G_1 \delta Y_1 + \sqrt{\kappa} X_{1,in} \tag{7e}$$
$$\delta\dot{Y}_1 = G_1 \delta X_1 + F_1 \delta q_1 + \sqrt{\kappa} Y_{1,in} \tag{7f}$$
$$\delta\dot{X}_2 = G_2 \delta Y_2 + \sqrt{\kappa} X_{2,in} \tag{7g}$$
$$\delta\dot{Y}_2 = G_2 \delta X_2 + F_2 \delta q_2 + \sqrt{\kappa} Y_{2,in} \tag{7h}$$

Here we denote $\Delta = \kappa + i\Delta_0$, $G_m = (\Delta - \chi q_{s,m})$, $F_m = \sqrt{2}\chi\left|c_{s,m}\right|^2$, $m = 1, 2$.

As the quantum noise and $c_{m,in}$, $m = 1, 2$ are zero-mean quantum Gaussian noise and the dynamics is linearized, the quantum steady state for the fluctuations can be rewriten as the follow express:

$$\dot{f} = Af + b \tag{8}$$

Where A is drift matrix[9] describing full character of the quantum steady state for the fluctuations.

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -\omega_m & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ -m\omega_m^2 & 0 & -\lambda & -\gamma_m & -F_1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & -\omega_2 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ -\lambda & 0 & -m\omega_2^2 & -\gamma_m & 0 & 0 & -F_2 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & G_1 & 0 & 0 \\ -F_1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & G_1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & G_2 \\ 0 & 0 & -F_2 & 0 & 0 & 0 & G_2 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \tag{9}$$

When all of the eigenvalues of matrix A have a negative real parts, the system is running in a stable and reaches states. Under the help of Routh-Hurwitz criterion[25], we can get the following three nontrivial conditions on the system parameters:

$$\begin{aligned} \lambda^2 - m_1 m_2 \omega_1^2 \omega_2^2 > 0 \\ G1 * \left(m_2 F_1^2 \omega_2^2 - G_1 \lambda^2 + G_1 m_1 m_2 \omega_1^2 \omega_2^2\right) > 0 \\ G_1 G_2^2 \left(G_1 \lambda^2 - m_2 F_1^2 \omega_2^2 - G_1 m_1 m_2 \omega_1^2 \omega_2^2\right) > 0 \end{aligned} \tag{10}$$

The formal solution of Eq.8 is

$$f(t) = M(t) f(0) + \int_0^t M(s) b(t-s) \tag{11}$$

where$M(t) = \exp(At)$.

4. Entanglement of the output field

In order to analyze the nature of linear quantum correlations among the two MRs and among the two beams output field, the steady state of the correlation matrix of quantum fluctuations in this multipartite system can be considering. The noises from the phonon bath and photon bath are both zero mean quantum Gaussian noise, so the steady state of the system is a zero-mean multipartite Gaussian state.

4.A. Entanglement of the two mechanical oscillators interacted by Coulomb force

We use the defination $V_{ij}(\infty) = \frac{1}{2}\left[\langle f_i(\infty) f_j(\infty) + f_j(\infty) f_i(\infty)\rangle\right]$ which is the element of the covariance matrix. The information of entanglement of the two mirrors or two beams leaked from two sides of the cavities can be obtain with the help of the covariance matrix. The literature [26, 27] had proposed two criteria of the continuous variable entanglement. Here we used the Duan's

criterion proposed in [27] and developed by , a state is entangled if the summation of the fluctuations in the two EPR-like operators X and Y satisfy the following inequality: $(\Delta X)^2 + (\Delta Y)^2 < 2$. here $X_m = Q_1 + Q_2$, $Y_m = P_1 - P_2$. We focus on the entanglement of the four possible bipartite subsystems of the four-body system that can be formed by traceless the others degree of freedom[15], such that we can obtain a reduced 4×4 CM $\tilde{V}$ from V.

$$V_{ij} = \sum_{k,l} \int_0^\infty ds \int_0^\infty ds' M_{ik}(s) M_{jl}(s') \Phi_{kl}(s - s') \tag{12}$$

Here $\Phi_{kl}(s-s') = (\langle b_k(s) b_l(s') + b_l(s') b_k(s) \rangle)/2 = D_{kl}\delta(s-s')$ is the matrix of the stationary noise correlation functions. Here $D_{kl} = Diag([0, \gamma_m(2\bar{n}_m + 1), 0, \gamma_m(2\bar{n}_m + 1),$

$\kappa(2\bar{n}_c + 1), \kappa(2\bar{n}_c + 1), \kappa(2\bar{n}_c + 1), \kappa(2\bar{n}_c + 1)])$ is a diagonal matrix and $\bar{n}_m = 0, \bar{n}_c = 0$. If we neglect the frequency dependence The the frequency domain treatment is same to the time domain and the Correlation matrices have the same form. Under the stability conditions, The following equations for the steady-state can be obtained:

$$M(\infty) = 0 \tag{13}$$

$$AV + VA^T = -D \tag{14}$$

Eq.14 is named Lyapunov equation which is equivalent to the Eq.12 for the steady-state. The linear equation for V can be straight forwardly solved used Eq.14; but the exact expression is too complex to reported in the article. We used the logarithmic negativity E_N as a measure of entanglement[28, 30, 31].

$$E_N = \max\left[0, -\ln 2\eta^-\right] \tag{15}$$

here $\eta^- = \sqrt{2}\left\{\sum(V) - \left[\sum(V)^2 - 4\det V\right]^{1/2}\right\}^{1/2}$, and $\sum\left(\tilde{V}\right) = \det B + \det C - 2\det E$. B, C and E is the a 2×2 block form of the V:

$$V \equiv \begin{pmatrix} B & E \\ E^T & C \end{pmatrix}$$

The results are shown in Fig.2, where we study the entanglements of the two mechanical oscillators at the steady state of the system versus the detuning and for different values of the κ, γ . Here we find a parameter region close to that of recently performed optomechanical experiments[32], and for simplicity, choose all the parameters of the two mirrors, two lasers and the two cavities to be the same. $\omega/2\pi = 10MHz$, $\kappa_1 = \kappa_2 = 0.8\omega_b$, $\gamma_m/2\pi = 100Hz$, $T = 300mK$, $\Delta_0 = \omega_b$Figure 1 demonstrate

$m = 20ng$, $wavelength = \frac{2\pi c}{\omega_c} = 1064nm$, $\omega_c/2\pi = 2.8 \times 10^{14}Hz$,$C = 27.5nF, U = 1V, k_q = 8.897Nm^2/C^2$ $F = 0.88nN\tilde{}10aN$Fig. 2.



Fig. 2. Plot of the logarithmic negativity E_N as a function of the normalized detuning Δ/ω_m of the mechanical oscillator. Here the optical cavity of length $L = 25mm$, $P = 50mW$, the mechanical oscillator has a frequency $\omega_m/2\pi = 10\ MHz$, a damping rate $\gamma_m/2\pi = 100\ Hz$.

4.B. The continous entanglement between two beam ouput light induced by optomechanical resonators

In this section, we study the entanglement of the output field. The equation in Eqs.3 can be solved by the input-output relation:

$$\delta a_{jout} = \sqrt{2\kappa_j}\delta a_j - \delta a_{jin} \tag{16}$$

The Eq.8 can be written as $\delta\tilde{f}_j(\omega) = (-i\omega - A)^{-1} b$ in the frequency domain by Fourier transformation.

In order to study the nature of the output fields leaked from two sidebands of our system, one can solve the analyzed solution from the two parts the input-output relation for the two-mode field is the equations of Eq(**??**) can be solved in the frequency domain by Fourier transformation with the solution. Where $\delta\tilde{a}_j(\omega)$ is the Fourier transformation of $\delta a_j(\omega)$.

We only choose the part relevant to output fields from In the interaction picture, ω represents the detuning from the cavity frequency. Used the relation Eq.16, we obtain the following linear equation:

$$\delta\tilde{f}_{out}(\omega) = c(-i\omega - A)^{-1} b - e \tag{17}$$

Where the matrices have the follow forms: $c = diag(0, 0, 0, 0, \sqrt{2\kappa}, \sqrt{2\kappa}, \sqrt{2\kappa}, \sqrt{2\kappa})$, $e = [0, 0, 0, 0, \delta X_{1in}, \delta Y_{1in}, \delta X_{2in}, \delta Y_{2in}]^T$. The output correlation matrix can be written as $V_{ij}^{out}(\omega) = \frac{1}{2}\left[\left\langle f_i^{out}(\omega) f_j^{out}(\omega') + f_j^{out}(\omega') f_i^{out}(\omega)\right\rangle\right]$. $D_{kl} = Diag([\kappa(2\bar{n}_c + 1), \kappa(2\bar{n}_c + 1), \kappa(2\bar{n}_c + 1), \kappa(2\bar{n}_c + 1)])$ The squeezing spectrum which is defined in a frame of Fourer transformation can be calculated from the correlation matrix:

$$S_{out}(\omega) = \tfrac{1}{2}[\delta X_f(\omega)\delta X_f(\omega') + \delta X_f(\omega')\delta X_f(\omega) + \delta Y_f(\omega)\delta Y_f(\omega') + \delta Y_f(\omega')\delta Y_f(\omega)] \tag{18}$$

In order to measure logarithmic negativity, one has to measure all independent entries of the correlation matrix. We can used feasible experimental methods have been realized in [33]to experimental detection of the generated entanglement of the output field. In our schematic, the measurement of the field quadratures of the output field leaked from cavity can be straightforwardly performed by homodyning the cavity output using a local oscillator with an appropriate phase.

5. Conclusion

We propose a scheme to generate steady-state continuous entanglement of two output beams which leaked from two sides of cavities induced by long-range Coulomb interaction. We show that the entanglement of output light is affected by the detuning and the strength of the Coulomb interaction. We also demonstrate thate two movable mirrors and two light beams can be entangled in the steady state. We suggest an experimental readout scheme to fully verify the characteristic of entangled state. The results show that such optomechanical entanglement can persist for higher environment temperatures using parameters based on the existing experiment.

References

[1] M. Poot and H. S. J. van der Zant, "Mechanical systems in the quantum regime," Phys. Rep. **511,** 273–335 (2012).

[2] F. Marquardt and S. M. Girvin, "Trend:Optomechanics," Physics **2,** 40–47 (2009).

[3] K. Stannigel, P. Komar, S. J. M. Habraken, S. D. Bennett, M. D. Lukin, P. Zoller, and P. Rabl,"Optomechanical Quantum Information Processing with Photons and Phonons," Phys. Rev. Lett.**109,** 013603–013607 (2012).

[4] S. Rips, and J. Hartmann,"Quantum Information Processing with Nanomechanical Qubits," Phys. Rev. Lett.**110,** 120503–120507 (2013).

[5] L. Tetard, A. Passian, K. T. Venmar, R. M. Lynch, B. H. Voy, G. Shekhawat, V. P. Dravid, and T. Thundat,"Imaging nanoparticles in cells by nanomechanical holography," Nat. Nanotechnol. **3,** 501–505 (2008).

[6] V. Braginsky and S. P. Vyatchanin,"Thermodynamical flutuations and photo-thermal shot noise in gravitational wave antennae," Phys. Lett. A **109,** 1-10 (1999).

[7] A. Schliesser ,O. Arcizet, R. Riviere, G. Anetsberger and T. J. Kippenberg,"Resolved-sideband cooling and position measurement of a micromechanical oscillator close to the Heisenberg uncertainty limit," Nat Phys.**5,** 509–514 (2009).

[8] O'Connell, A. D. Hofheinz, M.Ansmann, M.Bialczak, Radoslaw C.Lenander, M.Lucero, Erik Neeley, M. Sank, D. Wang, H. Weides, M. Wenner, J. Martinis, M. John,"Quantum ground state and single-phonon control of a mechanical resonatorNature ,"Nature (London)**464,**, 697–703 (2010).

[9] L. Zhou, Y. Han, J. T Jing, and W. P. Zhang, "Entanglement of nanomechanical oscillators and two-mode fields induced by atomic coherence ," Phys. Rev. A**83,**052117–052121 (2011).

[10] M. J. Hartmann and M. B. Plenio,"Steady State Entanglement in the Mechanical Vibrations of Two Dielectric Membranes,"Phys. Rev. Lett.**101,** 200503-200506 (2008).

[11] U. Lőw, V. J. Emery, and K. Fabricius,"Study of an Ising model with competing long- and short-range interactions,"Phys. Rev. Lett.**72,** 1918–1921 (1994).

[12] D. Bohm and D. Pines,"Study of an Ising model with competing long- and short-range interactions," Phys. Rev. **92**, 609–625 (1953).

[13] Y. D. Wang and A. A. Clerk,"Reservoir-Engineered Entanglement in Optomechanical Systems," Phys. Rev. A**110,** 253601–253605 (2013).

[14] W. J. Nie, Y. H Lan, Y. Li, and S. Y Zhu,"Dynamics of a levitated nanosphere by optomechanical coupling and Casimir interaction," Phys. Rev. A**86,** 063809–063817 (2012).

[15] Sh. Barzanjeh, D. Vitali, P. Tombesi, and G. J. Milburn," Entangling optical and microwave cavity modes by means of a nanomechanical resonator," Phys. Rev. A. **84,** 063850–063860 (2011).

[16] H. Y. Leng, J. F. Wang, Y. B. Yu, X. Q. Yu, P. Xu, Z. D. Xie, J. S. Zhao, and S. N. Zhu,"Scheme to generate continuous-variable quadripartite entanglement by intracavity down-conversion cascaded with double sum-frequency generations," Phys. Rev. A. **79,** 032337–032346 (2009).

[17] H. T. Tan, L. F. Buchmann, H. Seok, and G. X. Li,"Achieving steady-state entanglement of remote micromechanical oscillators by cascaded cavity coupling," Phys. Rev. A. **87,** 022318–022324 (2013).

[18] K. Zhang, P. Meystre, and W. P. Zhang,"Role Reversal in a Bose-Condensed Optomechanical System ," Phys. Rev. Lett.**108,** 240405–240409 (2012).

[19] D. Vitali, S. Gigan, A. Ferreira, H. R. Böhm, P. Tombesi, A. Guerreiro, V. Vedral, A. Zeilinger, and M. Aspelmeyer,"Optomechanical Entanglement between a Movable Mirror and a Cavity Field ,"Phys. Rev. Lett.**98,** 030405–030408 (2007).

[20] T. J. Kippengberg and K. J. Vahala,"Cavity Optomechanics: Back-Action at the Mesoscale," Science **321,** 1172–1176 (2008).

[21] J. Q. Zhang, Y. Li, M. Feng, Y. Xu,"Precision measurement of electrical charge with optomechanically induced transparency ," Phys. Rev. A **86,**053806–053811 (2012).

[22] W. K. Hensinger, D. W. Utami, H. S. Goan, K. Schwab, C. Monroe, and G. J. Milburn,"Ion trap transducers for quantum electromechanical oscillators," Phys. Rev. A 72, 041405–041408 (2005).

[23] V. Giovannetti, and D. Vitali,"Phase-noise measurement in a cavity with a movable mirror undergoing quantum Brownian motion," Phys. Rev. A. **63,** 023812–023819 (2001).

[24] S. M. Huang, and G. S. Agarwal,"Entangling nanomechanical oscillators in a ring cavity by feeding squeezed light ," New J. Phys. **11,** 103044–103057 (2009).

[25] E. X. DeJesus, and C. Kaufman,"Routh-Hurwitz criterion in the examination of eigenvalues of a system of nonlinear ordinary differential equations ," Phys. Rev. A. **35,** 5288–5290 (1987).

[26] R. Simon,"Peres-Horodecki Separability Criterion for Continuous Variable Systems ,"Phys. Rev. Lett. **84,** 2726–2729 (2000).

[27] L. M. Duan, G. Giedke, J. I. Cirac, and P. Zoller,"Inseparability Criterion for Continuous Variable Systems," Phys. Rev. Lett. **84,** 2722–2725 (2000).

[28] G. Vidal and J. I. Cirac, "Nonlocal Hamiltonian simulation assisted by local operations and classical communication ," Phys. Rev. A. **66,** 022315–022326 (2002).

[29] G. Adesso, A. Serafini, and F. llluminati," Extremal entanglement and mixedness in continuous variable systems," Phys. Rev. A. **70,** 022318–022335 (2004).

[30] C. Fabre, M. Pinard, S. Bourzeix, A. Heidmann, E. Giacobino, and S. Reynaud,"Quantum-noise reduction using a cavity with a movable mirror ," Phys. Rev. A. **49,** 1337–1343 (1994).

[31] D. Buono, G. Nocerino, V. D'Auria, A. Porzio, S.Olivares, and M. G. A. Paris,"Quantum characterization of bipartite Gaussian states ," J. Opt. Soc. Am. B **27,** 00A110 (2010).

[32] H. Miao, K. Srinivasan, and V. Aksyuk," A microelectromechanically controlled cavity optomechanical sensing system ," New J. Phys. **14,** 075015–075031 (2012).

[33] J. Laurat,"Entanglement of two-mode Gaussian states: characterization and experimental production and manipulation," J. Opt. B **7,** s577–s588 (2005).

[34] C. Genes, A. Mari, P. Tombesi, and D. Vitali,"Robust entanglement of a micromechanical resonator with output optical fields,"Phys. Rev. A. **8,** 032316–032329 (2008).